

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,933		07/14/2003	Marcos Karnezos	CPAC 1041-2	6636
22470	7590	12/07/2004		EXAMINER	
		& WOLFELD	CHAMBLISS, ALONZO		
P O BOX 366 HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/618,933	KARNEZOS, MARCOS			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alonzo Chambliss	2814			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/618,933

Art Unit: 2814

٥

DETAILED ACTION

1. The previous species restriction requirement has been withdrawn and a new species restriction is set forth below.

In regards to the restriction of species B1-B3, it would be a burden on the examiner to search each type of processor since the processor can be located in different class/subclasses based on the function of the processor.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of multi-package module:

- A1. A multi-package module with a memory package stacked adjacent or partly to one side of the processor (Figs. 5C, 6C, 8, 9, and 11).
- A2. A multi-package module with a memory package stacked over a portion of the processor (Fig. 4C).

Further, applicant must further elect from the species of type of processors:

- B1. A processor comprising a CPU.
- B2. A processor comprising a GPU.
- B3. A processor comprising a ASIC

Further, applicant must further elect from the species of arrangement of memory package stack:

Art Unit: 2814

C1. A memory package stack with a first package on a first and second side of a package stack substrate (Fig. 3).

C2. A memory package stack without a first package on a first and second side of a package stack substrate (Fig. 7).

Further, applicant must further elect from the species of type of first package:

- D1. A first package that is a BGA package.
- D2. A first package that is a LGA package.

If applicant elects D2, applicant must further elect from the species LGA package:

- E1. A LGA package with spacers (Fig. 7).
- E2. A LGA package without spacers (Fig. 10).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 11,16-24, 29, 40-45, 54, and 55 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/618,933

Art Unit: 2814

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (571) 272-1927.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR system see http://pair-dkect.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 2814

have questions on access to the Private PMR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC_Support@uspto.gov.

AC/December 4, 2004

Alonzo Chambliss

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2814